

Entity List FAQs

Published Date: October 21, 2016

Last Updated: November 10, 2025

Q.1: What is the Entity List?

A:1: The Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) publishes the names of certain foreign entities—including businesses, research institutions, government and private organizations, individuals, and other types of legal persons—that are subject to specific license requirements for the export, reexport, and transfer (in-country) of specified items. These entities comprise the Entity List, which is found at Supplement no. 4 to part 744 of the Export Administration Regulations (EAR). The entities on the Entity List are subject to individual licensing requirements and policies supplemental to those found elsewhere in the EAR.

Q.2: What is the background and purpose of the Entity List?

A:2: BIS first published the Entity List in February 1997 as part of its efforts to inform the public of entities that have engaged in activities that could result in an increased risk of the diversion of exported, reexported or transferred (in-country) items to weapons of mass destruction (WMD) programs. Since its initial publication, grounds for inclusion on the Entity List have expanded to activities sanctioned by the State Department and activities contrary to U.S. national security and/or foreign policy interests.

Q.3: Where can I find the Entity List?

A:3: The Entity List is found in Supplement no. 4 to part 744 of the EAR. If you would like to subscribe to BIS's e-mail notification service that will alert you when BIS publishes rules in the Federal Register, including rules implementing changes to the Entity List, please click [here](#).

Q.4: Why should I check the Entity List?

A:4: You should check the Entity List to determine if entities listed on the Entity List are a party to the transaction as described in § 748.5(c) through (f) of the EAR for your export, reexport, or transfer (in-country). Entities listed on the Entity List are subject to license requirements and other requirements, in addition to policies found elsewhere in the EAR. Failure to adhere to EAR licensing requirements is a violation of the EAR and could result in criminal and/or civil penalties. BIS recommends that exporters, reexporters, and transferors screen the parties to transactions against the Entity List, as a standard part of pre-transaction due diligence activities.

Q.5: Can a U.S. company have any dealings with a listed entity?

A:5: Yes. However, BIS considers that transactions of any nature with listed entities carry a Red Flag and recommends that U.S. companies proceed with caution with respect to such transactions. Note that the Entity List describes license requirements and policies for the export, reexport, and transfer (in-country) of items subject to the EAR only. Additionally, although many of the entities included on the Entity List are subject to policies of denial for the export, reexport, and transfer (in-country) of all items subject to the EAR, some are subject to policies and requirements that are narrower in scope (*i.e.*, not all entities included on the Entity List are subject to license requirements for all items subject to the EAR, while others are subject to license requirements for all or some items listed on the Commerce Control List (CCL)). Be sure to review the licensing policy and requirements carefully.

Q.6: Are exporters, reexporters, and transferors prohibited under the EAR from doing business with specific entities that are not included on the Entity List?

A:6: As set forth in answer to FAQ Q.36 below, both BIS and other agencies in the U.S. Government maintain other lists of entities for which there are restrictions on doing business. BIS recommends that exporters, reexporters, or transferors of items subject to the EAR review the U.S. Government's Consolidated Screening List (CSL) (<https://www.trade.gov/consolidated-screening-list>) to identify whether a proposed transaction may fall under other U.S. Government requirements. In addition, other provisions of part 744 of the EAR apply to transactions regardless of whether the entity in question is listed on the Entity List or not.

Q.7: What are the different types of license requirements for listed entities?

A:7: Each entity on the Entity List is assigned a specific licensing requirement on the basis of the national security and/or foreign policy considerations associated with the entity's designation on the Entity List. Within the Entity List, the information for each listed entity includes the license requirement, license review policy, and Federal Register citation(s). License requirements vary from entity to entity and should be reviewed carefully.

Q.8: What is BIS's policy for reviewing license applications that include listed entities as parties to the transaction?

A:8: A license is required, to the extent specified on the Entity List, to export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) any item subject to the EAR when an entity or a party to the transaction as

described in § 748.5(c) through (f) of the EAR is operating at an address that is listed on the Entity List under an address entry. Any application for such a license will be reviewed in accordance with the License Review Policy associated with the listed entity on the Entity List.

Q.9: Are there any license exceptions available for listed entities?

A.9: As outlined in § 744.11(a) of the EAR, the use of license exceptions is generally prohibited for almost all exports, reexports, and transfers (in-country) involving listed entities. However, if one or more license exceptions are available for a listed entity, the availability will be noted in the licensing requirements information specific to that entity.

Q.10: How often is the Entity List updated?

A.10: The Entity List is subject to ongoing review and revision. All changes to the Entity List are published in the Federal Register. You can subscribe to a BIS e-mail notification service that will alert you when EAR rules are published in the Federal Register, including rules implementing changes to the Entity List, by clicking [here](#).

Q.11: A company that used to be on the Entity List is no longer listed. Can I ship to them now?

A.11: The removal of an entity from the Entity List removes only the additional license requirements imposed by its listing on the Entity List, and does not modify the other license requirements that may be applicable under the EAR (*e.g.*, as a result of an item's classification on the CCL or the country of destination for the export, reexport, or transfer (in-country)). Additionally, if you know or have been informed that the item will be used in nuclear, missile, and/or chemical and biological weapons programs, or any other part 744 prohibited end use or end user control, you must seek a license pursuant to the requirements found in part 744 of the EAR, unless a license exception is available (although most part 744 license requirements may not be overcome by a license exception). You should also consult the other export screening lists maintained by BIS and other U.S. Government agencies to determine whether other license requirements or sanctions apply. In summary, you should conduct the same due diligence as you would for any other export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) of items subject to the EAR.

Q.12: What if a company I want to export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) to is at the same address as (*e.g.*, co-located with) a listed entity?

A:12: This is a Red Flag, and the exporter, reexporter, or transferor must undertake sufficient due diligence to verify that the company co-located with the listed entity is not, in fact, the listed entity, and does not intend to transfer (in-country) the requested items to the listed entity.

- Additionally, pursuant to § 744.11 of the EAR, BIS may identify certain addresses as Addresses with High Diversion Risk and add these addresses to the Entity List. When an address is listed on the Entity List as an Address with High Diversion Risk, the involvement of any party at that address performing the role of a Purchaser, Intermediate Consignee, Ultimate Consignee, or End-User in a transaction subject to the EAR would trigger a license requirement, regardless of the entity involved. The specific license requirements associated with that address will be listed in the License Requirement column on the Entity List next to that address entry on the Entity List.

Q.13: What if the name or address of the company I want to export to is a near match to a name or address on the Entity List?

A:13: As this is a Red Flag, BIS recommends that detailed due diligence be undertaken. You should conduct due diligence by examining other factors to determine if any entities that are party to this transaction are the same as the listed entity. Such factors may include, but are not limited to, the company's name, address, corporate officers, business activities, contact information. You may be able to locate this information via the company's website or through internet search results.

- Minor differences between a listed address and the address used on export paperwork should generally be considered immaterial, and you should accordingly consider the address a match. However, such otherwise minor differences will take on greater importance when the license requirement is based on the address itself, such as when a specific address is listed on the Entity List as an Address with High Diversion Risk. For example, BIS would consider the following scenarios to constitute a match despite certain differences (these examples are fictitious and do not correspond to addresses that BIS has listed on the Entity List):
 - The addresses largely match, but there is a difference in the type of roadway or room number listed; for example, "Hopewell Building, 123 Queens Road East, Hong Kong" should be considered a match for "Hopewell Building, 123 Queens Street East, Hong Kong." Similarly, "Suite 3, 4/F, 123 Main Street, Hong Kong" should be considered a match for "Room 03, 4th Floor, 123 Main Street, Hong Kong."
 - There are slight differences in the spacing or spelling of road names, when other relevant details of the address match. For example, "Suite 2, Commodore Building, 123 FaYuen street, Hong Kong" should be considered a match for "Suite 2, Commodore Building, 123 Fa-Yuan Street, Hong Kong."

- There are details such as the building name missing, but the remainder of the address has enough detail to confirm the match. For example, “Room 4, 10/F, Commodore Building, 123 FaYuen Street, Mongkok, Hong Kong” would be considered the same as “Room 4, 10/F, 123 FaYuen Street, Mongkok, Hong Kong.”
- The above examples are not an exhaustive list of cases in which BIS considers an address to match. If there are any variations in spelling or sub-building elements that prevent you from confidently determining that two addresses *do not match*, then you should treat them as matches. If you have difficulties making such a determination, you should seek official guidance from BIS.

Q.14: Can I export to an entity on the Entity List if he/she is not located at the same address as listed in the EAR?

A.14: Entities on the Entity List are subject to the licensing policy and requirements defined in their specific entries on the Entity List regardless of their location. BIS works to revise and correct the entries on the Entity List on a regular basis, in order to ensure that each entry reflects the most accurate and recent information for the entity named in that entry. However, if your due diligence indicates that the entity to whom you wish to export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) is designated on the Entity List, then, regardless of the address listed in the Entity List entry, you should follow the licensing requirements set forth in the Entity List for that entity.

Q.15: Are all of the entities on the Entity List included because they violated the Export Administration Regulations (EAR) by exporting, reexporting and/or transferring items subject to the EAR?

A.15: No, not all sections of part 744 of the EAR (which defines the criteria for possible inclusion on the Entity List) require that an entity’s alleged activity involve items subject to the EAR. Section 744.11, for example, requires that the entity’s activities be contrary to U.S. national security and/or foreign policy interests but does not require that the activities involve items subject to the EAR.

Q.16: Is there a process for listed entities on the Entity List to request their removal? If so, how does it work?

A.16: Yes; this process was articulated in BIS’s August 2008 revision of the EAR titled “Authorization to Impose License Requirements for Exports or Reexports to Entities Acting Contrary to the National Security or Foreign Policy Interests of the United States.” (73 FR 49311, Aug. 21, 2008)

- As a result of the August 2008 rule, § 744.16 of the EAR defines the procedures that allows an entity listed on the Entity List to submit a written request to the End-User Review Committee (ERC) via the ERC Chair's office, that its entry be removed or modified. You may also submit your removal request by email at ERC@bis.doc.gov. The request must be made in English, and the party must provide a basis for the removal or modification with supporting documentation. After the ERC has reviewed the request and reached a decision, BIS's Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary (PDAS) for Strategic Trade and Technology Security will provide the decision in a written response to the requesting party. The decision communicated to the party by the PDAS is final. BIS will publish any modifications to, or removals from, the Entity List resulting from such requests in the Federal Register. The timeframe for ERC review of requests is 30 calendar days after the ERC's receipt of the request (note that BIS conducts an internal review of all requests prior to referral to the ERC that may add to this timeframe).
- Please note that if a party on the Entity List submits a request, it remains subject to the Entity List's licensing requirements while the request is being processed. In order for a party to be released from the additional licensing requirements imposed by being on the Entity List, two actions must occur: 1) the request must be approved by the ERC, and 2) a formal notice of the party's removal from the Entity List must be published in the Federal Register.

Q.17: Does the Entity List include U.S. persons?

A.17: No, it does not.

Q.18: Do other U.S. Government export screening lists include U.S. persons?

A.18: Yes. See a consolidated version of U.S. Government restricted party lists [here](#).

Q.19: Does BIS work with other U.S. Government agencies to administer the Entity List?

A.19: Yes. As set forth in Supplement no. 5 to part 744 of the EAR, proposed changes to the Entity List are reviewed and approved by the interagency End-User Review Committee (ERC). Comprised of representatives from the Departments of State, Defense, and Energy, the ERC is chaired by a Commerce employee. In addition to the review of removal requests, the ERC reviews the Entity List on a periodic basis. Any ERC member agency may also recommend changes to the Entity List on an ad-hoc basis.

Q.20: Who should I contact if I have more questions about the Entity List?

A.20: You should call the Office of Exporter Services at 202-482-4811 or e-mail them at ecdoexs@bis.doc.gov. Pursuant to the guidance in § 748.3 of the EAR, you may also submit an advisory opinion request or any emails to the End-User Review Committee Chair at ERC@bis.doc.gov or call the Committee Chair directly at 202-482-5991.

Q.21: Can a listed entity act as purchaser or freight forwarder to transport my shipment of items subject to the EAR to the ultimate consignee or end-user?

A.21: A BIS license is required prior to such a transaction. As stated in § 744.11(a), a license is required for the export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) of items subject to the EAR when an entity on the Entity List is a party to the transaction as described in § 748.5(c)-(f). Parties to the transaction may include purchasers, intermediate consignees (such as forwarding agents), ultimate consignees, and end-users. Any application for such a license will be reviewed in accordance with the License Review Policy associated with the listed entity on the Entity List. This policy is, most commonly, a presumption of denial. BIS also recommends consulting the other export screening lists maintained by the U.S. Government to ensure that any listed entity performing an activity (*e.g.*, services) not subject to the EAR does not violate sanctions or restrictions administered by other U.S. Government agencies.

Q.22: Can a listed entity act as my company's purchasing agent for items subject to the EAR?

A.22: A BIS license is required prior to such a transaction. As stated in § 744.11(a), a license is required for the export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) of items subject to the EAR when an entity on the Entity List is a party to the transaction as described in § 748.5(c)-(f). Parties to the transaction may include purchasers, intermediate consignees (such as forwarding agents), ultimate consignees, and end-users. Any application for such a license will be reviewed in accordance with the License Review Policy associated with the listed entity on the Entity List. This policy is, most commonly, a presumption of denial. BIS also recommends consulting the other export screening lists maintained by the U.S. Government to ensure that any listed entity performing an activity (*e.g.*, services) not subject to the EAR does not violate sanctions or restrictions administered by other U.S. Government agencies.

Q.23: Do the license requirements and policies of the Entity List apply to separately incorporated subsidiaries, partially owned subsidiaries, or sister companies of a listed entity?

A.23: The licensing and other obligations imposed on a listed entity by virtue of its being listed do not *per se* apply to its subsidiaries, parent companies, sister companies, or other legally distinct affiliates that are not listed on the Entity List. If, however, such a company, or even an unaffiliated company, acts as an agent, a front, or a shell company for the listed entity in order to facilitate transactions that would not otherwise be permissible with the listed entity, then the company is likely violating, *inter alia*, General Prohibition 10, EAR § 764.2(b) (causing, aiding, or abetting a violation) and possibly other subsections of § 764.2 as well.

- Those who export, reexport, or transfer items subject to the EAR with “knowledge” that the items are destined to a subsidiary, sister, parent, or other affiliate of a listed entity are encouraged to take extra due diligence steps to ensure that: (i) the items are not ultimately destined for the listed entity and (ii) the non-listed foreign affiliate is a separate legal entity (as opposed to a branch or operating division of the listed entity) If you are uncertain whether a planned transaction involving an actor with some relationship to a listed entity would be affected by the obligations pertaining to the listed entity, you may seek an advisory opinion from BIS pursuant to § 748.3.

Q.24: Do the license requirements and policies of the Entity List apply to the branch offices and operating divisions of a company, organization, or other entity that is a listed entity?

A.24: Branches and operating divisions of a listed entity are, by definition, part of the listed entity. They are not legally distinct entities. Therefore, with one exception pertaining to hospitals and medical centers of the Department of Atomic Energy entities in India (see FAQ #39), the licensing and other obligations imposed on a listed entity also apply to its branches and operating divisions.

Q.25: Do the license requirements and policies in the Entity List also apply to the parent company if a subsidiary is a listed entity?

A.25: The Entity List license requirements do not extend to parent companies unless the applicable listing for the entity so states. Exporters, reexporters, and transferors are reminded that the EAR imposes licensing requirements, such as end-user and end-use based restrictions in Part 744 of the EAR, that could apply to such companies even if they are legally separate from the listed entity.

Q.26: Does being on the Entity List prohibit wire transfers from a bank?

A.26: The EAR do not, per se, prohibit wire transfers involving Entity List parties in the absence of a connection to an unlawful transaction. However, financing (or otherwise servicing) an item with ‘knowledge’ that it has been, is being, or will be exported in violation of the EAR is a violation of General Prohibition Ten of the EAR, 736.2(b)(10). BIS recommends reviewing previous guidance issued by BIS and its interagency partners, including FINCEN, for expectations pertaining to financial institution diligence on transactions. Some of that guidance can be found at this link:

https://www.fincen.gov/sites/default/files/shared/FinCEN%20and%20BIS%20Joint%20Alert%20FINAL_508C.pdf

If you identify specific exports, reexport, or transfers (in-country) in connection with this transaction, please alert our Office of Export Enforcement via EELEAD: EELEAD@bis.doc.gov.

Q.27: Do the restrictions for a listed alias differ from the main entry?

A.27: No. All entities named in Entity List entries are subject to the main entry’s licensing requirements and policy.

Q.28: If a person employed by or representing an entity on the Entity List enters the United States, can I do business with that person?

A.28: BIS does not prohibit the sale or transfer of commodities subject to the EAR to persons employed by or representing an entity on the Entity List if those employees or representatives are in the United States. However, the release of software source code or technology that is subject to the EAR in the United States to a foreign national that is on the Entity List or a foreign national employed by or representing an entity on the Entity List may require a license as a “deemed export.” Should such a person depart the United States, a license will be required for the export of commodities and software (other than software source code) consistent with the entity’s listing on the Entity List. In addition, if at the time of the domestic sale or transfer in the United States, the transferor or seller had “knowledge” that the foreign national on the Entity List or the foreign national employed by or representing the entity on the Entity List intended to export the item(s) out of the United States without obtaining BIS authorization, a violation of the EAR under § 736.2(b)(10) (General Prohibition Ten) and § 764.2(e) may occur.

BIS recommends that exporters exercise a high level of due diligence prior to entering into a transaction with any entity on the Entity List, including employees or representatives of entities on the Entity List, regardless of where that entity is located. Note also that the release outside of the United States of software source code or technology subject to the EAR to an entity on the

Entity List or a foreign national employed by or representing an entity on the Entity List may require a license or other EAR authorization prior to the “deemed reexport” of that software source code or technology.

Q.29: Can a U.S. company import items from listed entities on the Entity List?

A.29: BIS does not have jurisdiction over the import of items into the United States. However, you should consult other lists maintained by the U.S. Government, as sanctions or other restrictions may apply to import transactions with the particular listed entity or from that particular country of import. ITA, on behalf of BIS and the other export control agencies, publishes a consolidated version of U.S. Government restricted party lists that may be relevant to your transaction.

Q.30: Can I purchase items from an entity that is listed on the Entity List?

A.30: BIS’s jurisdiction is limited to the export, reexport, and transfer (in-country) of items subject to the EAR and the placement of an entity on the Entity List imposes supplemental license requirements and license application review policies on the shipment of items subject to the EAR involving that entity. Although an entity’s inclusion on the Entity List does not create a prohibition on purchases from that entity, purchasers should note that BIS suggests that there are red flags on the purchase of U.S.-origin items and other items subject to the EAR from entities listed on the Entity List. Companies need to exercise additional due diligence to ensure that the items desired for purchase, should they be U.S. origin or otherwise subject to the EAR, were sent to the entity listed on the Entity List with the appropriate authorization. Anyone seeking to purchase items from an entity listed on the Entity List should note that the Entity List is made up of entities for whom the United States Government has found there to be reasonable cause to believe that the entity has been involved, is involved, or poses a significant risk of being or becoming involved in activities that are contrary to the national security or foreign policy interests of the U.S. government, and those acting on behalf of such entities.

Q.31: Can a student at a university listed on the Entity List intern at my company?

A.31: A student's enrollment at a university included on the Entity List is a Red Flag which requires exporters to undertake an additional level of due diligence before proceeding with any such transaction. However, a student is not an integral part of the university (*e.g.*, does not have fiduciary duty to the university in the same manner that an employee, officer, trustee, or person in a similar position in the university would) in which he/she is enrolled and therefore BIS does not include them in the licensing requirements and policy specific to the university. With the caveat of the red flag mentioned above, BIS reminds exporters that the EAR's deemed export rules apply to releases of technology or source code subject to EAR to foreign nationals in the U.S. and that similar deemed reexport rules apply to releases made to third-country nationals abroad. As a general matter, such releases (whether in the U.S. or abroad) may require a license to the foreign national's most recent country of citizenship or permanent residence.

Q.32: Can my company enter into collaborative research projects with universities on the Entity List?

A.32: Pursuant to § 734.8 of the EAR, information resulting from fundamental research is not subject to the EAR. Therefore, if the collaboration remains limited to fundamental research, software or technology arising from the collaboration will not be subject to the Entity List's licensing requirements and policies because it is not subject to the EAR. Any research undertaken that involves the export, reexport, or transfer of an item subject to the EAR and that does not conform to the requirements of § 734.8 of the EAR may, depending on the licensing requirements and policies specified in the Entity List entry, require a license from BIS. Companies should be aware that even if the results of fundamental research are not subject to the EAR, input data for such a project may potentially be subject to the EAR. Research is not considered fundamental research when the laboratory, company, university, or researcher restricts the publication of the outcome of the research or restricts the publication of the methods used during the research. *See* 'Definitions: Revisions' for FAQs on fundamental research.

Q.33: Can my company hire an individual employed by a university on the Entity List while he/she continues to work at the university?

A.33: Employees of entities on the Entity List are subject to the licensing requirements and policies specific to their employer. Therefore, in the case of universities on the Entity List employees of the universities are subject to the same licensing policy and requirements as their employer. This also applies to officers, trustees, and other persons in a similar position with the university.

Q.34: Can my company hire an individual who used to be employed by a university on the Entity List?

A.34: Yes. However, previous employment at any university or organization on the Entity List carries a Red Flag which requires an additional level of due diligence before proceeding with the hiring process.

Q.35: Can my company donate items subject to the EAR to a university on the Entity List?

A.35: It depends on what your company wants to donate, whether BIS requires a license for the export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) of that item to the university (as specified in the Entity List entry for the university), and, if a license is required, whether BIS approves your license application.

Q.36: What is the relationship of the Entity List to other lists maintained by the U.S. Government?

A.36: The Departments of Commerce, State, and the Treasury maintain separate lists for the programs each agency administers because these programs have different purposes and are regulated under different authorities.

- BIS maintains four main lists: the Denied Persons List (DPL); the Entity List; the Military End User List, and the Unverified List. The Entity List is described in detail in these FAQs and can be found [here](#).
- The DPL lists persons that have been denied export privileges; any dealings with persons listed on the DPL that violate the terms of their denial order would be a violation of the EAR. The DPL can be found [here](#).
- The ‘Military End-User’ (MEU) List is a list of ‘military end-user’ entities where a license is required to export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) any item subject to the EAR as specified in § 744.21(a) when an entity that is listed on the MEU List is a party to the transaction as described in § 748.5(c) through (f). The MEU List can be found [here](#).
- The Unverified List is a list of parties for whom BIS has been unable to successfully conduct an end-use check. The presence of a party on the Unverified List in a transaction is a Red Flag that must be resolved before proceeding with the transaction. The Unverified List can be found [here](#).

- The Departments of the Treasury and State maintain other lists that should be consulted before exporting, reexporting, or transferring item(s). These lists include the Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons (SDN) List, the Debarred List, and the lists of persons subject to Nonproliferation Sanctions. You can find links to these lists [here](#).
- A consolidated version of U.S. Government restricted party lists is available [here](#).

Q.37: Is the Entity list the same as the Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons (SDN) List?

A.37: No. The SDN List is published by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC). The SDN List has different foreign policy objectives and legal requirements than the Entity List. BIS imposes license requirements under § 744.8 of the EAR when SDNs designated under certain specified programs are involved in a transaction. See [here](#) for additional information. As specified in § 744.8 under Note 2 to paragraph (a), the Entity List includes certain persons that have also been designated with certain identifiers on the SDN List. See § 744.11 and Supplement no. 4 to part 744 for requirements, including license review policies, for these entities, which take precedence over the requirements in this § 744.8. BIS requires an EAR authorization as specified in the license requirement column on the Entity List for export, reexport, and transfer (in-country) transactions involving items subject to the EAR in which these persons are parties to the transaction regardless of whether such transaction is authorized under an OFAC specific or general license or exempted under OFAC's regulations.

Q.38: Is the Entity List the same as the Denied Persons List?

A.38: No. Although both the Denied Persons List (DPL) and the Entity List are administered by the Department of Commerce, they are separate and distinct lists. The DPL includes parties that have been denied export and reexport privileges. In contrast, the Entity List imposes specific license requirements for the export, reexport, or transfer (in-country) of specified items to the persons named on it. You can submit DPL inquiries to DPLINQUIRIES@bis.doc.gov.

Q.39: Are hospitals and medical centers of Indian Department of Atomic Energy entities that are on the Entity List included in the entries for those entities?

A.39: No. Hospitals and medical centers of Indian Department of Atomic Energy (DAE) entities are not—and were never intended to be —captured by the Entity List. Consequently, hospitals and medical centers of DAE entities are not subject to the Entity List's licensing requirements. Note that the licensing requirements found elsewhere in the EAR may be applicable to such

hospitals and medical centers. Such hospitals and medical centers would also be generally subject to destination-based licensing requirements that apply to India.

Q.40: Where can I locate the list of Indian nuclear reactors (including power plants) and other nuclear facilities under International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) safeguards?

A.40: This list is published in the IAEA's Information Circular titled "Agreement between the Government of India and the International Atomic Energy Agency for the Application of Safeguards to Civilian Nuclear Facilities" (INFCIRC/754), which is available at the IAEA's website (www.iaea.org). In this document there is an annex (the "List of Facilities Subject to Safeguards Under the Agreement Between the Government of India and The International Atomic Energy Agency for the Application of Safeguards to Civilian Nuclear Facilities") that contains the list of nuclear reactors (including power plants) and fuel fabrication facilities under IAEA safeguards. Please note that this list is updated regularly with the publication of documents titled "Agreement between the Government of India and the International Atomic Energy Agency for the Application of Safeguards to Civilian Nuclear Facilities: Addition to the List of Facilities Subject to Safeguards Under the Agreement" and that these updates are numbered as follows: INFCIRC/754/Add.1, INFCIRC/754/Add.2, INFCIRC/754/Add.3, etc. BIS recommends that exporters check the most recent version of the list on a regular basis by visiting the IAEA's website: <https://www.iaea.org/publications/documents/infcircs/agreement-between-government-india-and-international-atomic-energy-agency-application-safeguards-civilian-nuclear-facilities> As of November 10, 2025, the most recent version of this document is INFCIRC/754/Add.12.